arXiv:1703.10749v2 [math.DS] 6 Apr 2017

DICRITICAL NILPOTENT HOLOMORPHIC FOLIATIONS

PERCY FERNÁNDEZ-SÁNCHEZ, JORGE MOZO-FERNÁNDEZ,
AND HERNÁN NECIOSUP

Dedicated to Felipe Cano, on the occasion of his first 60 years of mathematical life

Abstract. We study in this paper several properties concerning singularities of foliations in $(\mathbb{C}^3, \mathbf{0})$ that are pull-back of dicritical foliations in $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbf{0})$. Particularly, we will investigate the existence of first integrals (holomorphic and meromorphic) and the dicriticalness of such a foliation. In the study of meromorphic first integrals we follow the same method used by R. Meziani and P. Sad in dimension two. While the foliations we study are pull-back of foliations in $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbf{0})$, the adaptations are not straightforward.

1. Introduction

In the paper [**FM**], first and second authors studied the analytic classification of a generic class of quasi-ordinary singularities of codimension one, holomorphic foliations in dimension three. More precisely, we considered foliations $\mathcal{F}$ that can be generated by a holomorphic 1-form

$$\Omega = d(z^2 + x^p y^q) + (x^{p'} y^{q'})^k \alpha U(x^{p'} y^{q'}) dz,$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}$, $d = \gcd(p, q)$, $p = dp'$, $q = dq'$, $U(t) \in \mathbb{C}\{t\}$, $U(0) = 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$. In that paper, we focused in foliations of generalized surface type, condition that implies certain relations between k and d. We supposed that one of the two following conditions is satisfied:

(i) Either $2k > d$,
(ii) Or $2k = d$ and a certain arithmetical condition on α is satisfied.

The classifying object turns out to be the projective holonomy of a certain component of the exceptional divisor that appears in a particular reduction of the singularities, that follows the idea of Jung's method [**G**, **Co**]. This reproduces the scheme established in dimension two by D. Cerveau and R. Moussu [**CeM**], and R. Meziani [**Me**].

We are now interested in the dicritical case, and the problem of knowing if such a foliation has a meromorphic first integral. As we will see, in this situation we must have that $d = 2k$. Under this last condition, several possibilities may appear. For generic values of α the analytic classification of such foliations is essentially the

Date: April 10, 2017.
Key words and phrases. Holomorphic foliations, dicritical foliations.
This work was funded by the Dirección de Gestión de la Investigación at the PUCP through grant DGI-2015-1-0045, and by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad from Spain, under Projects "Álgebra y Geometría en Dinámica Real y Compleja III" (Ref.: MTM2013-46337-C2-1-P) and "Álgebra y geometría en sistemas dinámicos y foliaciones singulares" (Ref: MTM2016-77642-C2-1-P).

same that in the case $d < 2k$, in the sense that projective holonomy classifies. We will suppose that we are in a non-generic case, and that the foliation is dicritical. The objective of the paper is to study the existence, in this case, of first integrals, both of holomorphic or meromorphic type, and the dicriticalness of such a foliation. In particular, in order to investigate the existence of meromorphic first integrals we will follow the ideas developed in dimension two by R. Meziani and P. Sad in [**MeSa**]. Let us observe that the case we are studying is a pull-back of a two-dimensional foliation. More precisely, consider the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho : \mathbb{C}^3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^2 \\ (x, y, z) & \longmapsto & (x^{p'} y^{q'}, z). \end{array}$$

Then,

$$\Omega = \rho^*(d(z^2 + t^{2k}) + t^k \alpha U(t) dz).$$

It is tempting to try to use this map in order to transfer the properties of $\mathcal{F}_{k,\alpha,U}$ (foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbf{0})$ generated by $\omega_{k,\alpha,U} = d(z^2 + t^{2k}) + t^k \alpha U(t) dz$) to properties of $\mathcal{F}$. In fact, if there is a meromorphic first integral $F(t, z)$ for $\mathcal{F}_{k,\alpha,U}$ it is clear that $\Omega = \rho^* \mathcal{F}_{k,\alpha,U}$ has $\rho^* F = F \circ \rho$ as meromorphic first integral. But in general it is not true that the existence of a first integral for a pull-back implies that the original foliation has also a first integral. Consider, e.g., any foliation generated by a 1-form ω in $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbf{0})$, and the restriction of its reduction of singularities to a small neighbourhood of a regular point. This restriction has always a first integral, while the original foliation may not. For the same reason, it is not straightforward that, if a foliation is dicritical, a pull-back of the foliation is also dicritical. The same example applies. Nevertheless, in the case under consideration, the condition for the dicriticalness and for the existence of a meromorphic first integral is essentially the same as in dimension two. We will explore carefully this approach in this paper.

Let us briefly recall the criteria for the existence of a meromorphic first integral for nilpotent foliations in $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbf{0})$. First of all, we have to remind that there is not a topological characterization, as Suzuki example shows (see [**CeMa**]). In our case, consider a foliation generated by

$$\omega = d(z^2 + t^{2p}) + \alpha t^p U(t) dz,$$

such that, after p blow-ups, we arrive at a situation as described in [**MeSa**]: there are two singularities, not corners, in the last component $\mathcal{D}_p$ of the divisor, call them m_i, m_{-i}, such that m_i is imposed to be linearizable and dicritical, and m_{-i} simple resonant. Take a transversal Σ on $\mathcal{D}_p$, where the holonomy of $\mathcal{D}_p$ is computed. According to [**MeSa**], the existence of a meromorphic first integral for ω is equivalent to the existence of a rational function $r(T)$ on the transversal Σ invariant by the holonomy group of $\mathcal{D}_p$. Besides this, Camacho [**Ca**] characterizes in full generality the existence of a meromorphic first integral in terms of the singular holonomy of the foliation. Let us briefly recall this notion and make the link between both approaches, for the sake of completeness. The result shown by Camacho is the following one:

Theorem [**Ca**]**.** *The foliation $\mathcal{F}$ admits a meromorphic first integral if and only if:*

(i) The singular holonomy of the nondicritical branches is finite.

(ii) For each dicritical component $\mathcal{D}_i$ of the resolution of $\mathcal{F}$, there is a meromorphic function $f_i : \mathcal{D}_i \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that it is invariant by the singular holonomy of the nondicritical branches intersecting $\mathcal{D}_i$.

(iii) Given any two dicritical components $\mathcal{D}_i$ and $\mathcal{D}_j$, and a branch B_{ij} between them, i.e., $q_i = B_{ij} \cap \mathcal{D}_i \neq \emptyset \neq B_{ij} \cap \mathcal{D}_j = q_j$, the germs of f_i at $\mathcal{D}_i$, f_j at $\mathcal{D}_j$ are compatible, in the sense that they take the same values ove the same leaves L near B_{ij}.

Consider a corner of the divisor obtained after reduction of singularities, where the foliation is resonant linearizable, locally defined by $\omega_{pq} = pydx + qxdy$, $(p, q) = 1$, and where $\mathcal{D}_i$ is the component $x = 0$, and $\mathcal{D}_j$ is $y = 0$. The holonomy h_i of $\mathcal{D}_i$ at this singularity is $h_i(t) = e^{-2\pi ip/q}t$, and analogously, $h_j(t) = e^{-2\pi iq/p}t$ turns out to be the holonomy map of $\mathcal{D}_j$. Dulac's map is, in these coordinates, a map $D : \Sigma_i \to \Sigma_j$ such that if $(t, 1) \in \Sigma_i$, $(1, D(t)) \in \Sigma_j$ (Σ_i, Σ_j are transversals through $t = 1$ to $\mathcal{D}_i$, $\mathcal{D}_j$, respectively), and $(t, 1)$, $(1, D(t))$ are on the same leaf. So, we have

$$t^p = D(t)^q \text{ and then } D(t) = t^{p/q}.$$

Define the adjunction map by $D \circ h_i = h_i^D \circ D$, $h_i^D : \mathcal{D}_i \to \mathcal{D}_j$. A simple computation shows that $h_i^D(t) = \xi t$, where $\xi^q = 1$. The *singular holonomy* group is the maximal subgroup obtained by iteration of the adjunction process made at the corners of a branch. In our case, as $e^{-2\pi ip/q}$ is a primitive q-root of unity, $\xi = (e^{-2\pi ip/q})^m$, and so, $h_i^D = h_j^m$, for some $m \geq 1$. So, the adjunction of h_i^D to the holonomy group in this case does not increase it.

Consider now the situation studied by [**MeSa**]. After reduction of singularities, removing the only dicritical component, there are two chains of divisors and all the corners are resonant linearizable. Take a transversal Σ on $\mathcal{D}_p$, the component where the separatrix lies. Iterating the above construction, we have that the singular holonomy group agrees with the usual one of $\mathcal{D}_p$. In particular, it is finite. If $P \in \Sigma$, the leaf through P cuts the dicritical component $\mathcal{D}$. Assume that there exists a rational function f on $\mathcal{D}$, invariant by the singular holonomy, as in (ii) of the Theorem above. The previous construction defines a value $r(P)$ on $P \in \Sigma$, and so, a rational function $r(T)$ such that $r \circ h = r$, for h an element of the holonomy group of $\mathcal{D}_p$. This is the condition of [**MeSa**].

Conversely, if such a $r(T)$ exists, by previous considerations, $r \circ h = r$ for every h in the singular holonomy group, and a rational function on $\mathcal{D}$ can be defined. These considerations relate the conditions stated by Camacho and the ones by Meziani and Sad for the existence of a meromorphic first integral. We will try to reproduce the last one in our three dimensional, quasi-ordinary case.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, following [**CC**, **C**] we will recall the main notions concerning pre-simple singularities of foliations in dimension three, focusing in the existence of a meromorphic first integral. In Sections 3 and 4 we will investigate the existence of holomorphic and meromorphic first integrals, respectively, for our three-dimensional foliations, relating the existence of these objects with the existence of the corresponding objects in dimension two. Finally, in Section 5 we will study the dicriticalness of such a foliation.

Acknowledgments. The authors want to thank Felipe Cano Torres, from the Universidad de Valladolid, for his continuous support and encouragement, and for fruitful conversations during the preparation of this work. First and third author

wants to thank Universidad de Valladolid, and second author wants to thank Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú for the hospitality during several visits to both institutions.

2. Remarks on pre-simple singularities

In this section we will recall the notion of pre-simple and simple singularities of holomorphic foliations, as defined in [**CC**] and [**C**]. We will establish some results concerning their rigidity and the existence of meromorphic first integrals.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a germ of holomorphic foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$, generated by an integrable 1-form ω, adapted to a normal crossings divisor E. Choosing a coordinate system such that E is written as $\prod_{i\in A} x_i = 0$, a meromorphic generator of $\mathcal{F}$ is

$$\omega = \sum_{i\in A} a_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + \sum_{i\notin A} a_i dx_i,\ (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = 1.$$

The component $x_i = 0$ of the divisor will be invariant by $\mathcal{F}$ if x_i does not divide a_i. A component $x_i = 0$ is called *dicritical* if it is not invariant. Denote

$$A^* = \{i \in A;\ x_i \nmid a_i\}$$

the set of indexes corresponding to non-dicritical components.

The *dimensional type* of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted τ, is the minimum number of coordinates needed to write a generator of $\mathcal{F}$. Equivalently, it is the codimension of the vector space

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}) = \{X(0);\ X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0}),\ \omega(X) = 0\},$$

(where $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ denotes the space of germs of holomorphic vector fields at the origin in $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbf{0})$), according to the rectification theorem for regular vector fields.

The *adapted order* of $\mathcal{F}$ at the origin is defined as

$$\nu(\mathcal{F}, E) := \min\{\nu(a_i);\ 1 \le i \le n\},$$

where $\nu(a_i)$ denotes the (usual) order of a_i as formal power series. The *adapted multiplicity* of $\mathcal{F}$ at $\mathbf{0}$ is

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}, E) = \min\{\nu(a_i);\ i \in A\} \cup \{\nu(a_i) + 1;\ i \notin A\}.$$

The *resonance invariant* of $(\mathcal{F}, E)$, $Rs(\mathcal{F}, E)$ is:

- $\mathrm{Rs}(\mathcal{F}, E) = 1$ if $\nu(\mathcal{F}, E) = \mu(\mathcal{F}, E)$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is *radially dicritical*, i.e., the new component of the exceptional divisor that appears after blowing-up the origin is dicritical.
- $\mathrm{Rs}(\mathcal{F}, E) = 2$ if $\nu(\mathcal{F}, E) = \mu(\mathcal{F}, E)$, $\mathrm{Rs}(\mathcal{F}, E) \neq 1$ and, in some local coordinates, there is a map $\Phi : A \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that
$$\nu\left(\sum_{i\in A} \Phi(i) a_i\right) > \nu(\mathcal{F}, E).$$
- $\mathrm{Rs}(\mathcal{F}, E) = 0$ in other case.

Definition 1. The singularity at the origin is *pre-simple* for $(\mathcal{F}, E)$ if E is non-dicritical and one of the following holds:

1. Either $\nu(\mathcal{F}, E) = 0$.
2. Or $\nu(\mathcal{F}, E) = \mu(\mathcal{F}, E) = 1$, $Rs(\mathcal{F}, E) = 0$, and the directrix, i.e., the vector space defined by $\{In_1(a_i) = 0;\ i \in A\}$ (where In_1 denotes the first order terms) has codimension one and normal crossings with E.

According to [**C**, Prop. 46], there are formal coordinates $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ such that, any pre-simple singularity of dimensional type τ is given by one of the following formal meromorphic types:

A. $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \lambda_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i}$, with $\prod_{i=1}^{\tau} \lambda_i \neq 0$.

B. $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + \psi(x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_k^{p_k}) \sum_{i=2}^{\tau} \alpha_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i}$, where p_i are natural numbers, $p_1 \neq 0$, $\psi(\mathbf{0}) = 0$, $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for $i = k+1, \ldots, \tau$. This will be called the resonant case.

C. $dx_1 - x_1 \sum_{i=2}^{k} p_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + x_2^{p_2} \cdots x_k^{p_k} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \alpha_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i}$, with $(p_2, \ldots, p_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1} \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$. This is the Dulac case.

We will focus here in the three dimensional case: singularities of holomorphic foliations are of dimensional type $\tau = 2$ or 3. According to the previous classification, pre-simple singularities are:

1. If $\tau = 2$, pre-simple is equivalent to the non-nilpotence of the linear part of the dual vector field.
2. If $\tau = 3$, they correspond to one of the formal meromorphic models A, B or C, as above.

According to [**CC**, **C**], any germ of foliation in dimension three can be transformed into another one having only pre-simple singularities, using an appropriate sequence of blow-ups with permissible centers. If the foliation has a meromorphic first integral, all the pre-simple singularities that appear after this process must also have meromorphic first integral, and as a consequence, the leaves of the foliation must be closed. This fact imposes some restrictions that we will explore here.

Case A: Consider a pre-simple singularity, formally equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i \frac{d\hat{x}_i}{\hat{x}_i}$. It has a multiform first integral $\hat{x}_1^{\lambda_1} \hat{x}_2^{\lambda_2} \hat{x}_3^{\lambda_3}$. According to [**CeMa**, Cor. 1.2., pg. 115] it is semi-divergent and consequently the singularity has three convergent separatrices, tangent to $x_i = 0$. Consequently, in appropriate analytic coordinates the foliation is defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i (1 + b_i(\mathbf{x})) \frac{dx_i}{x_i},$$

with $b_i(\mathbf{0}) = 0$. Consider the separatrix $x_1 = 0$. Its holonomy group is generated by two diffeomorphisms $h_2(x_1)$, $h_3(x_1)$, such that

$$h_k(x_1) = e^{-\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_1} 2\pi i} x_1 + \cdots .$$

If the leaves of the foliation are closed, the holonomy is periodical, i.e.,

$$\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_1} = \frac{p_k}{p_1}, \ p_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

So, it is linearizable and then, the foliation also is, i.e. a generator is

$$\omega = \sum_{k=1}^{3} p_k \frac{dx_k}{x_k},$$

with $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^3$. So, existence of a meromorphic first integral for a pre-simple singularity of type **A**, and dimensional type $\tau = 3$ implies linearizability.

Case B: If $k = 2$, the foliation is a saddle-node. Indeed, assume $p_3 = 0$, and take a transversal section $x_1 = \varepsilon$, for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small enough. The resulting two-dimensional foliation is

$$x_3(p_2 + \alpha_2\psi(\varepsilon^{p_1}x_2^{p_2}))dx_2 + \alpha_3 x_2\psi(\varepsilon^{p_2}x_2^{p_2})dx_3,$$

which is a saddle-node. Consequently, the leaves are not closed, and a meromorphic first integral cannot exist.

If $k = 1$, and the *residual spectrum of the singularity* [**C**, Rem. 20] is resonant, i.e., $\alpha_2/\alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Q}_{<0}$, after blowing-up the x-axis a certain number of times we arrive to a saddle-node again. If this residual spectrum is non resonant, or $k = 3$, the singularity is simple. In this case, the holonomy group of $x_1 = 0$ has been computed in [**CeMo**, Section 4]. Under the assumption that the leaves are closed, this group turns out to be linearizable, and the foliation has a holomorphic first integral such that, in appropriate coordinates can be written as $x_1^{p_1}x_2^{p_2}x_3^{p_3}$, so, in fact, it is of the previous type **A**.

Case C: An analytic generator of the foliation is

$$\omega = dx_1 - (p_2x_1 + \cdots)\frac{dx_2}{x_2} - (p_3x_1 + \cdots)\frac{dx_3}{x_3}.$$

Consider the following commuting vector fields, tangent to ω:

$$X = (p_2x_1 + \cdots)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$$
$$Y = (p_3x_1 + \cdots)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

Take $b > 0$ and define $X_b = X + bY$. The linear part of X_b is

$$X_{b,1} = (p_2 + bp_3)x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + bx_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

The triple $(q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is a resonance for X_b if

$$q_1(p_2 + bp_3) + q_2 + q_3b = p_2 + bp_3.$$

Assume $b \notin \mathbb{Q}$. The previous equality means that

$$q_1p_2 + q_2 = p_2; \qquad q_1p_3 + q_3 = p_3,$$

and so, $q_1 \in \{0, 1\}$. If $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \geq 2$, $(q_1, q_2, q_3) = (0, p_2, p_3)$, and by Poincaré normalization theorem, X_b is analytically equivalent to

$$A_b = ((p_2 + bp_3)x_1 + a_1(x_2^{p_2}x_3^{p_3}))\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + bx_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

Consider $b' \neq b$, $b' \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and construct analogously $A_{b'}$. The 1-form

$$\tilde{\omega} = \iota_{A_b}\iota_{A_{b'}}(dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3)$$

is a generator of the foliation and implies that the normal form in this case is, in fact, convergent. $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 0$ are separatrices. The holonomy generators $h_1(x_3)$, $h_2(x_3)$ of $x_3 = 0$ verify

$$-\frac{1}{h_1(x_3)^{p_3}} + \alpha_3\log(h_2(x_3)) + \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{x_3^{p_3}} + \alpha_3\log(x_3),$$
$$\frac{1}{h_2(x_3)^{p_3}} - \alpha_3\log(h_2(x_3)) = \frac{1}{x_3^{p_3}} - \alpha_3\log(x_3).$$

The leaves being closed, $\alpha_3 = 0$. This implies that

$$h_2(x_3)^{p_3} = x_3^{p_3}, \text{ i.e. } h_2(x_3) = \xi x_3,\ \xi^{p_3} = 1.$$

We also have that

$$-\frac{1}{h_1(x_3)^{p_3}} + \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{x_3^{p_3}},$$

and so,

$$h_1(x_3)^{p_3} = \frac{x_3^{p_3}}{1 + \alpha_2 x_3^{p_3}}.$$

So, $h_1(x_3) = \eta x_3 + * \cdot x_3^{p_3} + \cdots$, with $\eta^{p_3} = 1$. Periodicity of h_1 implies that $\alpha_2 = 0$. This forbids singularities of type **C** with $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \neq (0, 0)$ to have a meromorphic first integral.

3. Holomorphic first integrals

Let us study the problem of the existence of holomorphic first integrals. According to [**MM**], a singular holomorphic foliation has a first integral if and only if all the leaves are closed and only a finite number of them contain the singularity in their closure. So, it is a property of topological nature.

Let us consider a nilpotent singularity on $(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}, \mathbf{0})$, i.e., a singularity of foliation of the type $zdz + \cdots$. Under a coordinate change, using Loray's Preparation Theorem [**L**], this foliation can be generated by a 1-form

$$\Omega := \Omega_{f,n,p,\alpha,U} = d(z^2 + f^n) + \alpha f^p U(f) dz,$$

where $f(\mathbf{x}) : (\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbf{0}) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $U(t) \in \mathbb{C}\{t\}$, $U(\mathbf{0}) = 1$. Write $f = f_1^{r_1} \cdots f_k^{r_k}$, $\gcd(r_1, \ldots, r_k) = 1$. Consider the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Phi : (\mathbb{C}^{m+1}, \mathbf{0}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbf{0}) \\ (\mathbf{x}, z) & \longmapsto & (f(\mathbf{x}), z), \end{array}$$

so, $\Omega = \Phi^* \omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}$, where

$$\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U} = d(z^2 + t^n) + \alpha t^p U(t) dz.$$

It is clear that, if $h(t, z)$ is a holomorphic first integral for $\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}$, $h \circ \Phi$ is a holomorphic first integral for Ω. Let us show the converse in this case.

The map Φ is a surjective submersion on $(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}, \mathbf{0}) \setminus S$, where

$$S := \left\{(\mathbf{x}, z) \in (\mathbb{C}^{m+1}, \mathbf{0});\ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}\right\} \subseteq S' := \left\{(\mathbf{x}, z) \in (\mathbb{C}^{m+1}, \mathbf{0});\ f(\mathbf{x}) = 0\right\}.$$

Proposition 2. *If $\mathcal{L}$ is a closed leaf for Ω, $\Phi(L)$ is a closed leaf for $\omega_{n,p,\alpha}$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{L} \setminus S'$ is closed on $(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}, \mathbf{0}) \setminus S'$, and $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \setminus S') = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ (at least as a germ around the origin, we won't precise the neighbourhood in which this equality is valid). By [**CLN**, Thm. 3, Ch. 2], $\mathcal{L} \setminus S'$ is a connected component of $\Phi^{-1}(F')$, $F' = \Phi(\mathcal{L} \setminus S')$ being a leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}}$ in $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$.

Consider a trivializing chart U for the foliation defined by $\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}$ around $P \in F'$, where the foliation is defined by the levels of a submersion $g : U \to \mathbb{C}$. For every point $(t_0, z_0) \in U$, the inverse image by Φ is the variety $f(\mathbf{x}) = t_0$, $z = z_0$. This variety has a finite number of connected components, so, reducing U if necessary, $\Phi^{-1}(U)$ consists in a finite number of connected trivializing sets. The leaf $\mathcal{L} \setminus S'$ being closed, in each of these open sets there are a finite number of plaques of $\mathcal{L} \setminus S'$, so F has a finite number of components in U and then, it is closed.



FIGURE 1. The image of a closed leaf is closed

So, $\Phi(\mathcal{L} \setminus S')$ is closed on $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$. As

$$\Omega \wedge df = (2z + \alpha f^p U(f))dz \wedge df,$$

S' is transversal to $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$ outside $z = 0$. Consider now the leaf F, such that $\mathcal{L}$ is a connected component of $\Phi^{-1}(F)$. In fact, $F = \Phi(\mathcal{L})$. This leaf cuts the axis $t = 0$ transversally outside $z = 0$. As $\mathcal{L}$ is closed, the "vertical" axis z cuts transversally $\mathcal{L}$ in a discrete number of points, so F does also. Then F is closed outside the origin. Apply finally Remmert-Stein Theorem in order to extend F up to the origin, concluding that $\Phi(\mathcal{L})$ is closed.

□

Consequently, if Ω has a holomorphic first integral, as Φ is surjective, the leaves of the foliation generated by $\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}$ are closed. If there exists infinitely many separatrices, their inverse images would be separatrices of Ω containg the analytic set $\Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$, which contradicts the fact that Ω has a holomorphic first integral. When a singular holomorphic foliation has a first integral, [**MM**] allows to conclude the following result:

Theorem 3. *The holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\Omega_{f,n,p,\alpha,U}}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^{m+1}, \mathbf{0})$ has a holomorphic first integral if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}}$ has a holomorphic first integral.*

In [**CeM**], criteria are given for the existence of a holomorphic first integral in terms of the projective holonomy. The generators of this group must be of finite order, and also the group, which implies its abelianity. Combining these criteria with the results in [**Me**], we have:

Corollary 4. *A nilpotent singular foliation $\mathcal{F}_\Omega$ defined by $\Omega = \Omega_{f,n,p,\alpha,U} = 0$ has a holomorphic first integral if and only if one of the following conditions occurs:*

1. *$n < 2p$, the projective holonomy of $\mathcal{F}_{\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}}$ is abelian, and its generators have finite order.*
2. *$n = 2p$, $\alpha^2 \neq \dfrac{(16+r)^2}{16+2r}$, $\forall\, r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, and also the projective holonomy of $\mathcal{F}_{\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}}$ is abelian, and its generators have finite order.*

Note that the conditions on n, p are necessary to guarantee that no dicritical components and no saddle-nodes appear in the reduction of singularities of $\mathcal{F}_{\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}}$.

Let us mention that in [**M**], an example of a nilpotent foliation without a holomorphic first integral is presented. The projective holonomy of the foliation defined by the 1-form $\omega = d(y^2 + x^3) + x(2xdt - 3ydx)$ has two generators h_1, h_2, with $h_1^2 = h_2^3 = id$ but they don't commute, so the projective holonomy is not finite.

4. Meromorphic first integrals in the quasi-ordinary case

Let us focus now in cuspidal, quasi-ordinary singularities of holomorphic foliations in dimension three. A generator in appropriate coordinates is

$$\Omega = d(z^2 + (x^p y^q)^k) + \alpha(x^p y^q)^n U(x^p y^q)dz,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $(p,q) = 1$, $U(t) \in \mathbb{C}\{t\}$, $U(\mathbf{0}) = 1$ [**FM**, **FMN**]. In this section we are interested in the existence of pure meromorphic first integrals (i.e., non holomorphic, as defined in [**CeMa**]). The following result restricts the values of n and k that we have to study:

Proposition 5. *If a quasi-ordinary singularity of foliation, generated by a 1-form*

$$d(z^2 + (x^p y^q)^k) + \alpha(x^p y^q)^n U(x^p y^q)dz,$$

has a pure meromorphic first integral, then $k = 2n$.

Proof. If $2n > k$, it is a generalized surface. If $2n < k$, blow-up pn times the y-axis, and qn times the x-axis. The equations of this transform are, in the main chart, $z = tx^{pn}y^{qn}$. The strict transform of ω is

$$\tilde{\Omega} = (2nt^2 + k(x^p y^q)^{k-2n} + \alpha ntU)(pydx + qxdy) + xy(2t + \alpha U)dt.$$

The origin turns out to be a simple singularity of type **B**, resonant, and there is another singularity corresponding to the point $t = -\frac{\alpha}{2}$. This singularity is a saddle-node, so, the foliation cannot have a first integral.

Consequently, $2n = k$. □

From now on, we will assume that $k = 2n$. After blowing up qn times the x-axis, and pn times the y-axis, the resulting foliation turns out to be, after eliminating common factors,

$$\tilde{\Omega} = (2z^2 + \alpha zU + 2)(pnydx + qnxdy) + xy(2z + \alpha U)dz.$$

The only singular points away from the intersections of the components of the exceptional divisor are those defined by $(x = 0,\ 2z^2 + \alpha z + 2 = 0)$. Assume that $\alpha \neq \pm 4$, so this equation has two different solutions α_1, α_2. Consider the point corresponding $z = \alpha_1$. After a coordinate change $z - \alpha_1 = \tilde{z}$, this point P_1 is the origin, and in the new coordinates the 1-form that generates the foliation is

$$\omega = \left(2\tilde{z}(\tilde{z} + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \alpha(\tilde{z} + \alpha_1)\tilde{U}\right)(pnydx + qnxdy) + xy(2\tilde{z} - 2\alpha_2 + \alpha\tilde{U})d\tilde{z},$$

where $U = 1 + \tilde{U}$. The terms of lower order of Ω are, in these coordinates,

$$\Omega_{L,1} = 2xy\tilde{z}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)\left[pn\frac{dx}{x} + qn\frac{dy}{y} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}\frac{d\tilde{z}}{\tilde{z}}\right].$$

Similarly, in the coordinates centered around the point P_2 corresponding to $z = \alpha_2$, the lower order terms are

$$\Omega_{L,2} = 2xy\tilde{z}(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\left[pn\frac{dx}{x} + qn\frac{dy}{y} + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}\frac{d\tilde{z}}{\tilde{z}}\right].$$

In the paper [**FM**], the authors studied the generic case where

$$\alpha^2 \neq \frac{(16+r)^2}{16+2r},$$

for every $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, where the singularities are already reduced. We are now interested in the complementary case

$$\alpha^2 = \frac{(16+r)^2}{16+2r},$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. In this case, one of the numbers $\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}$, $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}$ is a negative rational, and the other one, a positive rational, this last point being already reduced. Assume $\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2-\alpha_1} \in \mathbb{Q}_{<0}$, and then, P_2 is simple resonant. The singularity at P_1 may fall in one of the following two cases:

1. Either it is linearizable, and then, it is dicritical.
2. Or it is of Dulac type.

In the second case, as we have seen in Section 2, the foliation cannot have a meromorphic first integral. So, we will suppose in the sequel that we are in the first case, and then, the foliation is dicritical. Locally in a neighbourhood of P_1, the foliation is defined by

$$\Omega_1 = xyz\left[pn\frac{dx}{x} + qn\frac{dy}{y} - s\frac{d\tilde{z}}{\tilde{z}}\right],$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.

In order to linearize Ω around P_1, let us consider the following vector fields:

$$X_1 = g(x,y,\tilde{z})\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} - \frac{1}{pn}x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$
$$X_2 = g(x,y,\tilde{z})\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} - \frac{1}{qn}y\frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where

$$g(x,y,\tilde{z}) = \frac{2\tilde{z}(\tilde{z}+\alpha_1-\alpha_2)+\alpha(\tilde{z}+\alpha_1)\tilde{U}}{2\tilde{z}-2\alpha_2+\alpha\tilde{U}} = \frac{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}z + h.o.t.$$

They are commuting and, in the considered case, $\frac{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{Q}_{<0}$. The linearization is obtained after an analytic transformation in the $\tilde{z}$ variable: $\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}(x,y,z)$, and then, a separatrix $\tilde{z} = 0$, that perhaps is not the only smooth separatrix corresponding to this point. If this is the case, we choose one of the separatrices. Anyway, there is an infinite number of separatrices through this point, defined by the equation

$$z^{s_1} = Cx^{pns_2}y^{qns_2}, \text{ for } C \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $s = \frac{s_1}{s_2}$. Assume that a meromorphic first integral exists, $F(x,y,z)$. In the coordinates around P_1, it is $\tilde{F}(x,y,\tilde{z})$. Let us follow the same scheme of [**MeSa**]: take a transversal Σ to the component of the divisor defined by $y = 0$, i.e., the special component in the notation of [**FM**]. For simplicity, assume that $\Sigma \equiv (x =$

$\tilde{z}=1$). $\tilde{f}(t)=\tilde{F}(1,t,1)$ is the restriction of $\tilde{F}$ to Σ, defined for small $|t|$. If $t\in\mathbb{C}$ is small enough, the leaf through $(1,t,1)$ is

$$z^2=\frac{1}{t^{qn}}x^{pn}y^{qn},$$

and so, it approaches any neighbourhood of the origin. Defining

$$\tilde{f}(t)=F(x,y,(t^{-qn}x^{pn}y^{qn})^{1/s}),$$

$\tilde{f}(t)$ can be extended to a function on $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$, necessarily rational. Let h_0, h_1 be the generators of the holonomy of this component, calculated in the transversal Σ. The fact that $\tilde{f}(t)$ is the restriction of a meromorphic first integral implies that $\tilde{f}\circ h_1=h_1$, $\tilde{f}\circ h_2=h_2$, i.e., any element $h(t)$ of the holonomy group of the special component is fixed by the rational function $f(t)$.

Conversely, assume that a rational function $f(t)$ exists on a transversal $\Sigma\cong\bar{\mathbb{C}}$, invariant by the holonomy. Every leaf cuts Σ so, following the leaves a first integral may be defined in a neighbourhood of this special component of the exceptional divisor. The other singularities, by [**MM**], have holomorphic first integrals, so this meromorphic first integral extends to a neighbourhood of the origin, and defines a meromorphic first integral for the foliation. So, we have proved:

Theorem 6. *A meromorphic first integral exists for the quasi-ordinary cuspidal foliation generated by* $\Omega=d(z^2+(x^py^q)^k)+\alpha(x^py^q)^nU(x^py^q)dz$ *if and only if* $k=2n$ *and a rational function exists invariant by the projective holonomy of the special component of the divisor that appears after reduction of the singularities as in* [**FM**].

5. Dicriticalness

Finally, we shall investigate the relation about the dicriticalness of a nilpotent foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})$ with the dicriticalness of its pull-back via a map

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\Phi:(\mathbb{C}^3,\mathbf{0}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})\\ (x,y,z) & \longmapsto & (f(x,y),z).\end{array}$$

So, we will consider cuspidal, nilpotent singularities generated by a 1-form

$$\Omega=d(z^2+f(x,y)^n)+\alpha f(x,y)^pU(f)dz,$$

where $U(t)$ is a unit, $U(0)=1$.

For, let us recall, following [**C**], that a germ of singular holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbf{0})$, generated by a 1-form ω, is dicritical if there exists an analytic map $\varphi:(\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})\to(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbf{0})$, not invariant by $\mathcal{F}$ (i.e., $\varphi^*\omega\neq 0$), such that $\varphi^*\mathcal{F}$ is the foliation $(dx=0)$, and $\varphi(y=0)$ is invariant by $\mathcal{F}$.

With this characterization, if Ω defines a dicritical foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^3,\mathbf{0})$, also $\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}$ defines a dicritical foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})$. Indeed, if $\varphi:(\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})\to(\mathbb{C}^3,\mathbf{0})$ exists, in the conditions of the previous characterization, and $\Phi\circ\varphi=\rho$, $\rho^*\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}=\varphi^*\Phi^*\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}=\varphi^*\Omega\neq 0$, $\rho^*\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}\equiv(dx=0)$, and $\rho(y=0)=\Phi(\varphi(y=0))$ is invariant.

It is not true, in general, that given a map $(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbf{0})\xrightarrow{\Phi}(\mathbb{C}^m,\mathbf{0})$, if ω defines a dicritical foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^m,\mathbf{0})$, then $\Phi^*\omega$ also defines a dicritical foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbf{0})$. In particular, if $m=2$, dicritical foliations are exactly foliations with an infinite number of separatrices, but in higher dimensions, it is not even true that they have a separatrix [**J**]. So, for a general map Φ, it is not always possible to study the

dicriticalness of the pull-back from the point of view of the existence of infinitely many separatrices. We will see that in our case the situation is different, and much simpler. If $\omega_{n,p,\alpha,U}$ defines a dicritical foliation on $(\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})$, then we must have, according to [**Me**, **MeSa**]

1. $n=2p$.
2. $\alpha\neq\pm 4$.
3. Under (i) and (ii), after p blowing-ups, the quotient of the eigenvalues at the singular points (different from corners) are, respectively, $\frac{2p(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)}{2\alpha_1+\alpha}$, $\frac{2p(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}{2\alpha_2+\alpha}$, where α_1, α_2 are the roots of the equation $2y^2+\alpha y+2=0$. It is necessary that one of these numbers is a positive rational. In this case, if it is not an integer or the inverse of an integer, then it is dicritical. In the remaining situation, either it is dicritical or of Dulac type, depending on the coefficients of $U(x)$.

Denote, as in the Introduction, $\omega_{p,\alpha,U}=d(z^2+t^{2p})+\alpha t^pU(t)dz$. Let us see that, in our case, if $\omega_{p,\alpha,U}$ is dicritical, then $\Phi^*\omega_{p,\alpha,U}$ also is. For, we shall use the characterization from [**C**] explicitely. In order to locate a dicritical component in the reduction of singularities of $\omega_{p,\alpha,U}$ (in fact, the only one), we must follow the following steps:

1. Blow-up the origin p times. In an appropriate chart, this is represented by the map $(t,z)\to(t,t^pz)$.
2. Make a translation $z\mapsto z+\alpha_i$, with previous notations.
3. Blow up the origin a certain number of times, depending on the quotient of the eigenvalues at the singular point. This is done via a map $(t,z)\mapsto(t^{n_1}z^{m_1},t^{n_2}z^{m_2})$, with $n_1m_2-m_1n_2=1$.

After these transformations, we arrive at a corner where one of the axis is a dicritical component of the exceptional divisor. The composition of the transformations 1., 2. and 3. is

$$E(t,z)=\left(t^{n_1}z^{m_1},t^{pn_1}z^{pm_1}(t^{n_2}z^{m_2}+\alpha_1)\right).$$

Assume, for instance, that the horizontal axis $z=0$ is transversal to the foliation $E^*\mathcal{F}$ defined by the saturated of $E^*\omega_{p,\alpha,U}$. In this case, this foliation is generated by $dt+B(t,z)dz$. This foliation can be rectified by a diffeomorphism $S(t,z)=(tS_1(t,z),z)$, with $S_1(0,0)\neq 0$. This means that $S^*(dt+B(t,z)dz)\wedge dx=0$. Assume, first, that we are in the easier case where $f(x,y)=x^{p_1}y^{p_2}$, i.e., the foliation $\Phi^*\omega_{p,\alpha,U}$ is of quasi-ordinary type. We will call this map $E\circ S$ a *dicriticalness section*, i.e., a map verifying the dicriticalness condition of [**C**] as given above. It is our objective to lift this map to $(\mathbb{C}^3,\mathbf{0})$ in order to show that $\Omega:=\Phi^*\omega_{p,\alpha,U}$ is dicritical. The map $E\circ S$ should be lifted to $(\mathbb{C}^3,\mathbf{0})$ in a map $\sigma=(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)$ such that $\Phi\circ\sigma=E\circ S$, but this is not always possible, as in particular it would imply that $\sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{p_2}=t^{n_1}S_1(t,z)^{n_1}z^{m_1}$, which is not possible if p_1, p_2 are big enough. But, let us observe that, if we define $\varphi=(\varphi_1,\varphi_2):(\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})\to(\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})$ by $\varphi(t,z)=(t^{r_1},z^{r_2})$, the composition $E\circ S\circ\varphi$ is again a dicriticalness section for $\omega_{p,\alpha,U}$. Choosing $r_1=p_1$, $r_2=p_2$, this map can be lifted to $(\mathbb{C}^3,\mathbf{0})$ defining

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1(t,z)&=t^{n_1}S_1(t^{p_1},z^{q_1})^{n_1/p_1},\\
\sigma_2(t,z)&=z^{m_1},\\
\sigma_3(t,z)&=t^{pn_1p_1}S_1(t^{p_1},z^{q_1})^{pn_1}z^{pm_1q_1}(t^{n_2p_1}S_1(t^{p_1},z^{q_1})^{n_2}z^{m_2q_1}+\alpha_1).
\end{aligned}$$

So, the foliation $\Phi^*\omega_{n,p,\alpha}$ is also dicritical.

Let us consider now the general case, i.e., where $\Phi(x,y,z) = (f(x,y),z)$, for a general $f(x,y)$. In this case, consider a local monomialization of $f(x,y)$, i.e., a local map $\rho(x,y) : (\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0}) \to (\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})$ such that $f(\rho(x,y)) = x^a y^b V(x,y)$, V being a unit, $a,\, b \neq 0$. As before, modify the dicriticalness section $E \circ S$ defining $\varphi_1(t,z) = t^a$. This implies that we must choose $\sigma_1(t,z) = t^{n_1}$, $\sigma_2(t,z) = z^{m_1}$. In this case, the equality $f(\rho(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)) = \varphi_1(t,z)^{n_1} S_1(\varphi_1(t,z),\varphi_2(t,z))^{n_1}\varphi_2(t,z)^{m_1}$ turns out to be

$$z^b V(t^{n_1},y^{m_1})^{1/m_1} = S_1(t^a,\varphi_2(t,z))^{n_1/m_1}\varphi_2(t,z),$$

which has a unique solution $\varphi_2(x,y)$ by Implicit Function Theorem. Now, $\sigma_3(x,y)$ can be defined accordingly.

This ends the construction. We sum up previous considerations in the following theorem:

Theorem 7. *The foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^3,\mathbf{0})$ defined by the 1-form $\Omega = d(z^2+f(x,y)^{2p}) + \alpha f(x,y)^p U(f)dz$ is dicritical if and only if $\omega = d(z^2+t^{2p}) + \alpha t^p U(t)dz$ defines a dicritical foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})$.*

Remark 8. Previous result also holds in dimension greater than three, as all the arguments are valid there. The differences in the proof for this extended case consist only in technical points, and we shall not reproduce it here.

Dicritical foliations in dimension two are also characterized for the existence of infinitely many separatrices at the origin. This is not true in higher dimension, where a dicritical foliation may not have any separatrix. Nevertheless, this condition is still sufficient: a foliation with infinitely many separatrices is always dicritical. In the cuspidal case we study in this paper, this situation cannot happen: any nilpotent dicritical foliation generated by a 1-form $\Omega = d(z^2+f(x,y)^{2p}) + \alpha f(x,y)^p U(f)dz$ has infinitely many separatrices. In fact, the foliation in $(\mathbb{C}^2,\mathbf{0})$ generated by $\omega_{p,\alpha,U}$, in the dicritical case, has separatrices that can be parameterized by Puiseux series $y = \alpha_1 x^p + \cdots$, series with rational exponents. These series, by composition with Φ, give infinitely many separatrices for Ω.

References

[**Ca**] C. Camacho. *Dicritical Singularities of Holomorphic Vector Fields.* Contemporary Mathematics vol. **269** (2001), 39–45.

[**CLN**] C. Camacho, A. Lins Neto. *Teoria geométrica das folheações.* IMPA, Projeto Euclides (1979).

[**C**] F. Cano. *Reduction of the singularities of codimension one holomorphic foliations in dimension three.* Annals of Math. **160** (2004), 907–1011.

[**CC**] F. Cano, D. Cerveau. *Desingularization of non-dicritical holomorphic foliations and existence of separatrices.* Acta Math., **169** (1992), 1–103.

[**CeMa**] D. Cerveau and J.-F. Mattei. *Formes intégrables holomorphes singulières.* Astérisque **97** (1982).

[**CeM**] D. Cerveau, R. Moussu. *Groupes d'automorphismes de* $(\mathbb{C},0)$ *et équations différentielles* $ydy + \cdots = 0$, Bull. Soc. Math. France **116** (1988) 459-488.

[**CeMo**] D. Cerveau, J. Mozo Fernández. *Classification analytique des feuilletages singuliers réduits de codimension 1 en dimension* $n \geq 3$. Erg. Th. and Dyn. Systems **22** (2002), 1041–1060.

[**Co**] V. Cossart. *Desingularization in dimension 2*, in *Resolution of surface singularities.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1101**, 79–98. Springer-Verlag (1984).

[**FM**] P. Fernández Sánchez, J. Mozo Fernández. *Quasi-ordinary cuspidal foliations in* $(\mathbb{C}^3,0)$. Journal of Differential Equations **226** (2006), 250–268.

[**FMN**] P. Fernández Sánchez, J. Mozo Fernández, H. Neciosup. *On codimension one foliations with prescribed cuspidal separatriz*. J. Differential Equations **256** (2014) 1702–1717.

[**G**] J. Giraud. *Desingularization in low dimension*, in *Resolution of surface singularities*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1101**, 50–78. Springer-Verlag (1984).

[**J**] J.P. Jouanolou. *Équations de Pfaff algébriques*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **708**. Springer, 1979.

[**L**] F. Loray, *A preparation theorem for codimension one foliations*, Ann. of Math. **163** (2006), 709–722.

[**MM**] J.F. Mattei, R. Moussu, *Holonomie et intégrales premiéres*, Ann. Sci. École Normale Sup. **13** (1980) 469–523.

[**Me**] R. Meziani. *Classification analytique d'équations différentielles* $ydy + \cdots = 0$ *et espaces de modules*. Bol. Soc. Brasil Mat. **27** (1996), 23–53.

[**MeSa**] R. Meziani, P. Sad. *Singularités nilpotentes et intégrales premières*. Publ. Mat. **51** (2007), 143–161.

[**M**] R. Moussu. *Holonomie évanescente des équations différentielles dégénérées transverses,* in *Singularities and Dynamical systems*. North-Holland (1985), 151–173.

(Percy Fernández) Dpto. Ciencias - Sección Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Av. Universitaria 1801, San Miguel, Lima 32, Peru

E-mail address: pefernan@pucp.edu.pe

(Jorge Mozo-Fernández) Dpto. Álgebra, Análisis Matemático, Geometría y Topología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Valladolid, Campus Miguel Delibes, Paseo de Belén, 7, 47011 Valladolid - Spain

E-mail address: jmozo@maf.uva.es

(Hernán Neciosup) Dpto. Ciencias - Sección Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Av. Universitaria 1801, San Miguel, Lima 32, Peru

E-mail address: hneciosup@pucp.pe